



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/708,341	02/25/2004	Kazuhiro Takeda	SIC-03-047	2340
29863 75	08/04/2005		EXAMINER	
DELAND LAW OFFICE			NGUYEN, CUONG H	
P.O. BOX 69 KLAMATH RI	VER, CA 96050-0069		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB	
	. 214, 211 > 2000 000	•	3661	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	7			
10/708,341	TAKEDA ET AL.	· ·			
Examiner	Art Unit	· -			
CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661				
ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of th II apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
04 (the IDS).					
action is non-final.	•				
•		is			
x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
n from consideration.					
		•			
election requirement.					
:		•			
: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐	objected to by the Examiner.				
Irawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	-	(d).			
aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	4			
priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
have been received.					
 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
ity documents have bee	n received in this National Stage				
(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
of the certified copies no	t received.				
A) 🗖 Intomús	Summany /DTO 413\				
Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	Examiner CUONG H. NGUYEN Fars on the cover sheet was an on the cover sheet was a second to the cover, may a second to the cover and the cover sheet was a second to the cover and the cover sheet was a second to the cover in the cover sheet was a second to the cover sheet was a second	TAKEDA ET AL Examiner CUONG H. NGUYEN ass on the cover sheet with the correspondence address IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed within the statutory minimum of thirly (30) days will be considered timely. Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any (b) (the IDS). action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits at parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. In from consideration. election requirement. and accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 aminer. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). The have been received. The have been received in Application No. The have been received in Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication received on 7/26/2004 (IDS), which paper has been placed of record in the file.
- 2. Claims 1-28 are pending in this application. The IDSs received on 12/12/2004 and 2/25/2004 are acknowledged.

Drawings

3. The submitted drawings are acceptable for examining purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 11-16, 18, 20, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipate by Downs (US Pat. 5,629,668).

A. As per claims 1, 3, 15-16: As best interpretation, independent claim 1 merely discloses an apparatus, comprising what Downs taught:

- a calculator attached to a bicycle to compute travel distances: such as counting a total number of wheel turn, or said bicycle's speed (see Downs, Fig.1, col.2 lines 55-62, and the abstract); and
- a display screen is detachably attached to a bicycle (see Downs, the abstract, and col.
 2 lines 51-53).
- B. As per dependent claims 4, 6: As best interpretation, Downs also teaches about supplying a power source 26 to run a computer 14, and using sensors as input signals to said calculator

means, and said display means (only one-way distributed information - see Downs, claim 20, and col.2 lines 55-62).

C. As per dependent claim 5: Downs also inherently teaches about using a wire/cable (a single communication line) for inputs/communication between a display device, and a calculation means (see Downs, col.2 lines 30-36).

<u>D.</u> As per dependent claim 11: Downs also teaches about turning/switching ON a button/switch to power up a display device 10, then providing a pulse signal means from sensor assembly 12 to said calculator (see Downs, Fig. 1, and claim 20).

E. As per dependent claims 2, and 12: Downs also teaches about using a memory in computer 14 for storing calculated information (see Downs, col.2 line 60, and claim 12).

<u>F. As per dependent claims 7-8</u>: Downs also teaches about using a bicycle wheel rotation information for calculation (see Downs, col.2 lines 58-62, and claim 1).

G. As per dependent claim 10: Downs also teaches about said information comprises a total distance traveled by the bicycle (see Downs, col.5 lines 9-20: "Referring to FIG. 2b, the distance display screen 34 of display 30 includes an upper portion having the wheel setting 76 and current speed 54 displayed as described above. The middle portion displays a trip distance 52 as indicated by the "DST" symbol defined as the total distance the bicycle has traveled. The microprocessor 24 computes and records the trip distance 52 in increments of 0.1 miles (or kilometers) whenever the wheel rotates. The trip distance 52 can be configured to record up to 1,000 miles (or kilometers) and to reset to zero (0), for example, recording up to 9999.9 miles (or kilometers). As above, the lower portion continuously displays the 12 hour clock 62 and temperature 64.").

H. As per claim 14: Downs teaches that cumulative information are calculated for a final result using a CONTROL DEVICE 28, and a COMPUTER 14 – it is inherent that previous data are used as a reference to subsequent data (see Downs, Fig. 1).

Application Serial No. 10/708,341 Art Unit 3661

I. As per dependent claim 24: Downs also teaches about using reference information (see Downs, col.3 lines 35-47:"(iii) refer to a table of common tire sizes and input the corresponding number of the wheel size setting").

J. As per independent claim 18: Downs teaches claimed limitations as in claims 1, and 14.

Downs also teaches about an information receiver (e.g., a MICROPROCESSOR 24), a memory for storing reference information (same rationale as rejected claim 24), and a component to initiating computation (same rationale as rejected claim 11 – see col.2 line 60).

Therefore, claim 18 is also anticipated on Downs based on 35 USC 102(b).

K. As per independent claim 19: Downs teaches claimed limitations as in claim 3.

Downs use mounting brackets for attaching a display to his bicycle (see Downs col. 2 lines 52-54; it is inherent that the display has its housing space. Therefore, claim 18 is also anticipated on Downs based on 35 USC 102(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downs (US Pat. 5,629,668), in view of Kitamura (US Pat. 6,418,041).

Application Serial No. 10/708,341 Art Unit 3661

A. As per claim 9: The rationale and references for a rejection of claim 8 are incorporated.

Downs does not disclose that bicycle's rotation information comprises signals from an alternating current generator that rotates with the bicycle wheel'

However, Kitamura teaches that idea (see Kitamura "The dynamo hub 8 of the front wheel 6 fixed to the front end of the front fork 3 includes an externally mounted roller-type front brake and serves as an enclosure for an *AC generator* 19 (FIG. 5) for generating electricity by the rotation of the front wheel 6.").

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Downs' bicycle to include the above teachings of Kitamura so that users can have the ac source required for bicycle's utilities.

6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downs (US Pat. 5,629,668), in view of Quintilian (US Pat. 4,319,129).

The rationale and references for a rejection of claim 16 are incorporated.

Downs teaches a device 18 to SET a particular selection (see Downs, Fig. 1).

Downs does not expressly disclose that bicycle's display component comprises a display switching component for alternatively displaying different information.

However, Quintilian clearly teaches about alternatively displaying different information on a display apparatus (see Quintilian, claim 12).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Downs'bicycle to include the above teachings of Quintilian for the advantage of a user can select different options when monitoring speed, time, or distance travel.

7. Claims 13, 20-23, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Downs (US Pat. 5,629,668).

A. As to claims 13, 20, and 25: The rationale and references for a rejection of claim 12 are incorporated.

Downs discloses a display component (see Downs, Fig. 1, display 10).

Downs does not disclose that cumulative information may be use as referencing information.

Downs does not disclose about how to get those particular numbers.

However, the examiner respectfully submits that cited reference of Downs already meets the claimed limitations because it is directed to an apparatus comprising physical components (reference data are used (as in rationale for rejection of claim 24), and claiming "how" is not a requirement for a limitation within an apparatus claimed format – (please note that Downs already obtains cumulative information and using stored reference information).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Downs' bicycle to integrate a display component with a calculator to compute cumulative information from derived information which may be used for references for a benefit of saving required spaces, and using previous obtained values.

B. As to claims 21, and 26: The rationale and references for a rejection of claim 20 are incorporated.

Dawns does not expressly disclose that cumulative information are calculated by performing a subtraction with the subsequent cumulative information and the previous reference information.

However, the examiner respectfully submits that cited reference of Downs already meets the claimed limitations because these claims are directed to an apparatus comprising physical components, and claiming "how" is not a requirement for a limitation within "an apparatus" claimed format – (please note that Downs already obtains cumulative information and using stored reference information; a subtraction can be a simple calculation for reducing the predetermined distance).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Downs'bicycle to integrate a display component with a calculator to compute cumulative information from derived information which may be used for references for a benefit of using previous obtained values.

C. As per dependent claim 22: Downs also teaches about said information comprises a total distance traveled by the bicycle would be shown on display 10 of Fig.1 (same rationale as for rejected claim 10).

Downs does not disclose about a first additional cumulative information comprises travel distance.

However, the examiner respectfully submits that for traveling 2 consecutive sections of road having 2 sections, the 1^{st} section is added by input from traveling of 2^{nd} section; that exemplary situation is claimed by the applicant.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Downs' apparatus to disclose about a first additional cumulative information comprises a travel distance for a benefit of continuing adding consecutive information to a calculator.

D. As per dependent claim 23: Downs also teaches about displaying information comprises a total distance traveled by the bicycle.

The examiner respectfully submits that in an SUV Lexus RX 300 made in 2001, 2 clocks in an odometer are used for indication of total distance travel, and Trip mileage/travel distance.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Downs' apparatus with above Lexus' application to display about a cumulative travel distance and a trip mileage (by pressing a switch) for a benefit of knowing 2 different information about distance travels (e.g., for a mileage/gallon estimation) without performing a calculation.

E. As per dependent claims 27-28: Downs also teaches about displaying information comprises a cumulative total distance, and a trip mileage traveled by the bicycle as discussed for a rejection of claim 23.

Downs does not disclose a remaining travel distance.

The examiner respectfully submits that displaying a result from a simple calculation of COMPUTER 14: to subtract a total distance from a travel distance would give a value for a remaining travel distance – then displaying that result on DISPLAY 10.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Downs' apparatus by displaying a result of said subtraction for a benefit of showing an estimation of the necessary time to spend for travel without the need for using a calculator.

Conclusions

- 8. Claims 1-28 are not patentable.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The Rightfax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-6759.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CUONG H. NGUYI Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661